Diterpenoids from Euphorbia pithyusa subsp. cupanii

Giovanni Appendino,,*,† Emanuela Belloro,† Gian Cesare Tron,† Jasmin Jakupovic,*,‡ and Mauro Ballero§

Dipartimento di Scienza e Tecnologia del Farmaco, Università di Torino, Via Giuria 9, 10125 Torino, Italy, Dipartimento di Scienze Botaniche, Università di Cagliari, Viale San Ignazio 13, 09123 Cagliari, Italy, and Analyticon AG, Hermannswerder Haus 17,14473 Potsdam, Germany

Received May 3, 1999

The aerial parts of *Euphorbia pithyusa* subsp. *cupanii* collected in Sardinia afforded eleven novel diterpenoids belonging to the lathyrane (**1a**), premyrsinane (**4a–g**), and tigliane (**5a–c**) types. Compounds **4a–g** and **5a** are esters of two new parent alcohols, named premyrsinol and 4,12,20-trideoxyphorbol, respectively. Structures were elucidated by spectroscopic and chemical methods. Puzzling differences between the NMR data of lathyrol (**1c**) and its esters were rationalized in terms of flipping of the exomethylene around the mean plane of the macrocycle.

A spurge named *pityusa* was held in great esteem in the Greek, Latin, and medieval systems of medicine and was mentioned in many ancient medical treatises.¹ Traditionally, this plant has been identified as Euphorbia pithyusa L. (Euphorbiaceae), a species native to the Western Mediterranean region.² The name *pithyusa* refers to its resemblance to a small pine,² and the latex and seeds of this plant were prescribed for a bewildering array of conditions, some typical of spurges (constipation, removal of warts), but others peculiar for this drug (breast ailments, general tonic).^{1,2} The roots were also used as a cheap substitute for turbith (Convolvolus turpethum L.), a practice which caused dramatic and often lethal effects in patients.³ Euphorbia pithyusa bears no obvious morphological similarity with the other spurges of the Mediterranean area and is considered a paleoendemism.⁴ Despite this, and the historical relevance of this plant in medicine and pharmacy, no chemical study has been performed so far on its constituents. We report here the isolation of eleven novel diterpenoids from *E. pithyusa* L. subsp. *cupanii* (Guss.) A. R. Sm. (= *E. cupanii* Guss. ex Bertol.), a plant endemic to the dry areas of Sardinia, Corsica, and Sicily.^{2,4}

Results and Discussion

The plant material (aerial parts) came from the area of Gennargentu, in central Sardinia. An acetone extract was separated by column chromatography to afford three major (yield > 0.02%) crystalline constituents. The isolation of the minor compounds required further purification by HPLC, owing to their very similar chromatographic behavior.

Compound **1a** ($C_{32}H_{40}O_7$, HRMS) was the least polar of the three major constituents. Its ¹H NMR spectrum was similar to that of the *Euphorbia* factor L₁ (**2**),⁵ the first *Euphorbia* diterpenoid obtained in pure form (Table 1).⁶ The major difference between the ¹H NMR spectra of **1a** and **2** was the replacement of the AB system of the epoxide protons of **2** by two olefin protons (δ 4.99 and 4.72, s). This suggested that **1a** was the deoxy derivative of **2**, an observation in accordance with the molecular formula and the ¹³C NMR spectrum, where the resonances of the oxyrane carbons (δ 58.98, s and 55.43, t) were replaced by two signals in the double bond region (δ 144.3, s, and 115.6, t). To confirm that **1a** was an ester of lathyrol, **1a** and the



known lathyrol ester *Euphorbia* factor L_3 (1b)⁷ were hydrolyzed, affording an identical triol (1c), having the melting point of lathyrol.⁷ Deoxygenation of **2** with I₂-and polymer-supported triphenylphosphine⁸ afforded a compound identical to 1a, confirming the results of the hydrolysis and establishing that the esterification patterns of 1a and 2 are identical. Despite the important role of lathyrol (1c) in the chemistry of the genus *Euphorbia*, no data besides its melting point⁷ have been reported, prompting us to characterize lathyrol also from the spectroscopic point of view. The ¹H- and ¹³C NMR spectra of 1c, the hydrolysis product of 1a and 1b, confirmed the atom connectivity and the configuration expected for lathyrol (Table 1), but several unexpected and puzzling differences with 1a and 1b were noticed. Thus, in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 1c, the olefinic proton H-12 resonated ca. 0.50 ppm upfield than in **1a** and **1b** (δ 6.04 vs δ 6.50 and 6.49, respectively), while the allylic methyl (H-20) was moved downfield (ca. 0.25 ppm), and $J_{4.5}$ decreased, in absolute value, from ca. 10 Hz to ca. 3 Hz. Shifts of this type are not uncommon in macrocyclic compounds, and the change in $J_{4.5}$ closely parallels that observed in $\Delta^{11, 6(17)}$ -jatrophadienes upon interconversion of "endo" and "exo" conforma-

10.1021/np990209u CCC: \$18.00 © 1999 American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy Published on Web 09/03/1999

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: (G.A.) +39 011 670 7684; (J.J.) +49 331 230 0213. Fax: (G.A.) + 39 011 670 7687; (J.J.) + 49 3331 230 0263. E-mail: (G.A.) appendin@pharm.unito.it, (J.J.) jjakupovic@ Analvticon-ag.com.

[†] Dipartimento di Scienza e Tecnologia del Farmaco.

[‡] Analyticon AG

[§] Dipartimento di Scienze Botaniche.

Table 1. ¹H NMR Data for Compounds 1a, 1c, and 3b (δ , CDCl₃ for 1a and 1b, CD₃OD for 3b)^{*a*-*c*}

| position | 1a | 1c | $\mathbf{3b}^d$ |
|----------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| 1α | 3.36 dd (14, 8) | 2.80 dd (14, 10) | 2.94 dd (13, 8.5)) |
| 1β | 1.42 dd (14, 11) | 1.81 dd (14, 10) | 1.63 dd (13, 11) |
| 2 | 2.20 m | 2.17 m | 1.93 m |
| 3 | 5.58 t (3.5) | 4.37 br d (3) | 4.27 t (4) |
| 4 | 2.76 dd (10, 3.5) | 2.26 br t (3) | 2.22 dd (8.5, 4) |
| 5 | 6.11 d (10) | 4.44 br s | 4.86 d (8.5) |
| 7α | 2.20 m | 2.53 m | 4.20 dd (8.5, 4)) |
| 7β | 2.06 m | 1.73 m | _ |
| 8α | 2.02 m | 1.84 m | 1.97 ddd (15, 4, 4) |
| 8β | 1.74 m | 1.18 m | 1.84 ddd (15, 12, 8.5) |
| 9 | 1.14 m | 1.12 m | 1.32 ddd (12, 8.5, 4) |
| 11 | 1.37 dd (11, 8) | 1.39 dd (10, 8) | 1.47 dd (11.5, 8.5) |
| 12 | 6.49 d (11) | 6.04 br d (10) | 7.26 d (11.5) |
| 16 | 0.71 d (6.5) | 1.20 d (6.5) | 1.08 d (7) |
| 17a | 4.99 br s | 5.11 br s | 5.11 br s |
| 17b | 4.72 br s | 4.96 br s | 4.90 br s |
| 18 | 1.18 s | 1.16 s | 1.18 s |
| 19 | 1.16 s | 1.19 s | 1.14 s |
| 20 | 1.68 br s | 1.99 br s | 1.67 br s |

^{*a*} 500 MHz. *J* values are given in Hz in parentheses. ^{*b*} Other signals (δ), for **1a**: 7.33–7.21 (m, OPhAc), 3.63 (d, *J* = 15 Hz, OPhAc), 3.61 (d, *J* = 15 Hz, OPhAc). For **1c**: 4.53 (s, OH-1), 3.47 (s, OH-3), 3.38 (s, OH-5). ^{*c*} Selected NOEs, for **1c**: H-20, H-11; H-20, H-4; H-20–1α; H-18, H-11; H-19, H-12; H-5, H-7β; H-5, H-12; H-4, H-17a; H-4, H-17b. For **3b**: H-7, H-4; H-7, H-9; H-7, H-1; H-18, H-9; H-18, H-11; H-19, H-8β; H-19, H-12; H-20, H-11. ^{*d*} ¹H NMR data in CDCl₃: δ 3.10 (1H, dd, *J* = 14, 10 Hz, H-1α), 4.38 (1H, t, *J* = 3 Hz, H-3), 2.28 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.5, 3 Hz, H-4), 4.83 (1H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, H-5), 4.24 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.5, 4); 6.98 (1H, d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, H-12), 5.12 (1H, br s, H-17a), 4.93 (1H, br s, H-17b), 1.71 (3H, br s, H-20).

tions.⁹ Yet, their detection in **1c** stands in sharp contrast to what was observed during the hydrolysis of the 7-hydroxylathyrol ester *Euphorbia* factor L₂ (**3a**).¹⁰ In this case, no significant change was observed for $J_{4,5}$, while the chemical shift of the allylic methyl was moved *upfield* ($\Delta \delta$ -0.16, CDCl₃) and H-12 underwent a *downfield* shift (+ 0.43 ppm, CDCl₃) (Table 1). As a result of opposite shifts compared to their esters, overall differences of almost 1 ppm (δ 6.04 vs 6.98, CDCl₃) for the signal of H-12, and of almost 0.30 ppm (δ 1.99 vs 1.71, CDCl₃) for the signal of the allylic methyl were observed between lathyrol (**1c**) and its 7-hydroxy derivative (**3b**) (Table 1).

To rationalize these puzzling observations, a detailed investigation on the conformation of lathyrol (1c) was undertaken. The results of NOE difference experiments (Table 1) revealed that lathyrol adopts a conformation with the C-7 exomethylene on the mean plane of the macrocycle, and the allylic methyl perpendicular to it (Figure 1, A). The esters of lathyrol and 7-hydroxylathyrol, as well as 7-hydroxylathyrol itself (3b), adopt instead a conformation having these groups approximately perpendicular to the mean plane of the macrocycle, and syn-oriented on its α -face (Figure 1B). A similar geometry was found in the X-ray analysis of the Euphorbia factor L_1 (1b).¹¹ The changes in the NMR spectra of lathyrol and its esters are presumably related to a shielding effect of the C-15 ester carbonyl on H-12, and to a different extent of planarity within the enone moiety. Thus, removal of the C-15 ester carbonyl is expected to move the signal of H-12 downfield, as observed in the hydrolysis of 7-hydroxylathyrol esters, while in the hydrolysis of lathyrol esters this effect is offset by the reduced conjugation within the enone system, eventually resulting in an upfield shift for H-12. Pivotal to this effect is the flipping of the C-7 exomethylene from a perpendicular to a parallel orientation toward the mean plane of the macrocycle. This brings H-4 and H-5 into an



Figure 1. Calculated conformation of lathyrol (1c, A) and 7-hydroxylathyrol (3b, B).

almost orthogonal relationship, and makes possible the formation of a web of intramolecular hydrogen bondings which offsets the energy loss due to the decrease planarity within the enone system (Figure 1A). The observation that all the three hydroxyls of 1c are involved in intramolecular hydrogen bonding is consistent with the observation that their chemical shift was not changed by dilution, while a decreased conjugation with the endocyclic double bond underlies the dramatic downfield shift of the ketone carbonyl in **1c** compared to **1a** and **1b** ($\Delta \delta$ ca. 10 ppm). Indeed, lathyrol (1c) behaves spectroscopically as an unconjugated ketone, as judged from the chemical shift of the enone β -proton (δ 6.04) and the enone carbonyl (δ 206.7).¹² In sharp contrast to lathyrol, 7-hydroxylathyrol (2b) retains the conformation of its ester, since in this conformation the 5-hydroxyl is ideally located to interact with the 7β hydroxyl, and a 3,5,7-hydrogen bonding web can be formed (Figure 1B). This web is attained without decrease of conjugation within the enone system, and its formation is therefore favored over the 3, 5, 15 web.

The detection of a small $J_{4,5}$ value in lathyrol (1c) and of a larger value in its esters might have contributed to the confusing situation regarding the configuration at C-5 of lathyrol and 5-hydroxyisocharaciol¹³ derivatives. In the publications describing the X-ray analysis of *Euphorbia* factor L₁ (1a)¹¹ and 7-hydroxylathryol (3b),¹⁰ no specific configurational data were in fact reported, and, even now, the configuration of the 5-oxygen function of 1a is sometimes still incorrectly reported as β .¹⁴ Overall, the conformational changes of $\Delta^{6(17),12}$ -lathyradienes bear striking similarities with those detected in $\Delta^{6(17),11}$ -jatrophadienes. Both are triggered by flipping of the exomethylene around the macrocycle, and exhibit as hallmark changes in the values of $J_{4,5}$.⁹

The crystalline alcohol **4a** had a molecular weight 636 daltons (HRMS), corresponding to the molecular formula $C_{35}H_{48}O_{12}$. The ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 2) showed signals diagnostic of three acetates (δ 2.08, 2.07, 2.05, s), one isobutyrate (δ 2.37, m; 1.09, d, J = 7 Hz; 1.06, d, J = 7 Hz), and one propionate residue (δ 2.33, q, J = 7 Hz; 1.08, t, J = 7 Hz), accounting for all the extra carbons of the

Table 2. ¹H NMR Data (δ , CDCl₃) for Compounds 4a-g^{a-c}

| position | 4a | 4b | 4 c | 4d | 4e | 4f | 4 g |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 1α | 3.13 dd (14,8) | 3.17 dd (14,8) | 3.17 dd (14,8) | 3.14 dd (14,8) | 3.15 dd (14,8) | 3.16 dd (14,8) | 3.16 dd (14,8) |
| 1β | 1.60 dd (14,13) | 1.63 dd (14,13) | 1.62 dd (14,13) | 1.60 dd (14,13) | 1.60 dd (14,13) | 1.64 dd (14,13) | 1.60 dd (14,13) |
| 2 | 1.80 m | 1.85 m | 1.80 m | 1.78 m | 1.78 m | 1.80 m | 1.80 m |
| 3 | 5.25 t (3.5) | 5.23 t (3.5) | 5.26 t (3.5) | 5.25 t (3.5) | 5.26 t (3.5) | 5.38 t (3.5) | 5.25 t (3.5) |
| 4 | 2.32 dd (11, 3.5) | 2.38 dd (11, 3.5) | 2.44 dd (11, 3.5) | 2.41 m | 2.40 m | 2.39 dd (11, 3.5) | 2.33 m |
| 5 | 6.17 d (11) | 6.23 d (11) | 6.11 d (11) | 6.18 d (11) | 6.18 d (11) | 6.38 d (11) | 6.21 d (11) |
| 7 | 4.50 d (7) | 4.71 d (7) | 4.42 d (7) | 4.41 d (7) | 4.48 d (7) | 4.79 d (7) | 4.53 d (7) |
| 8α | 2.07 m | 2.09 m | 2.06 m | 2.07 m | 2.05 m | 2.05 m | 2.00 m |
| 8 β | 1.78 br d (17) | 1.90 br d (17) | 1.80 br d (17) | 1.78 br d (17) | 1.80 br d (17) | 1.85 br d (17) | 1.81 br d (17) |
| 9 | 0.71 m | 0.77 m | 0.71 m | 0.69 m | 0.71 m | 0.72 m | 0.70 m |
| 11 | 0.71 m | 0.83 m | 0.75 m | 0.74 m | 0.74 m | 0.76 m | 0.73 m |
| 12 | 3.36 m | 3.48 m | 3.38 m | 3.35 m | 3.38 m | 3.56 m | 3.38 m |
| 16 | 0.86 d (6.5) | 0.92 d (6.5) | 0.89 d (6.5) | 0.86 d (6.5) | 0.88 d (6.5) | 0.86 d (6.5) | 0.91 d (6.5) |
| 17a | 4.41 d (12) | 4.88 d (12) | 4.35 d (12) | 4.34 d (12) | 4.41 d (12) | 4.69 d (12) | 4.43 d (12) |
| 17b | 4.34 d (12) | 4.51 d (12) | 4.31 d (12) | 4.31 d (12) | 4.35 d (12) | 4.31 d (12) | 4.35 d (12) |
| 18 | 1.03 s | 1.07 s | 1.05 s | 1.03 s | 1.04 s | 1.05 s | 1.05 s |
| 19 | 0.89 s | 0.95 s | 0.89 s | 0.89 s | 0.91 s | 0.94 s | 0.92 s |
| 20 | 1.67 s | 1.74 s | 1.72 s | 1.69 s | 1.69 s | 1.71 s | 1.69 s |
| 15-OH | 4.46 s | 4.41 s | 4.48 s | 4.42 s | 4.45 s | 4.41 s | 4.52 s |

^a 500 MHz. J are given in Hz in parentheses. ^b Other signals (δ), for **4a**: *O*-prop: 2.33 (q, J = 7 Hz), 1.08 (t, J = 7 Hz); 5-*O*-iBu, 2.37 (m), 1.09 (d, J = 7 Hz), 1.06 (d, J = 7 Hz); OAc-7, 2.07 (s); OAc-13, 2.08 (s); OAc-17, 2.05 (s). For **4b**: *O*-prop, 2.33 (q, J = 7 Hz), 1.09 (t, J = 7 Hz); *O*-iBu, 2.38 (m), 0.88 (d, J = 7 Hz); OAc-7, 2.11 (s); OAc-13, 2.11 (s); *O*-Nic, 9.16 (d, J = 1.5 Hz), 8.82 (dd, J = 6, 1.1 Hz), 8.19 (dt, J = 8, 1.5 Hz), 7.44 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.5 Hz). For **4c**: *O*-prop, 2.35 (q, J = 7 Hz); 1.10 (t, J = 7 Hz); *O*-MeBu, 2.52 (m), 1.35 (m), 1.10 (t, J = 6.5 Hz), 1.06 (d, J = 6.5 Hz); OAc-7, 2.09 (s); OAc-13, 2.11 (s)); *O*-iBu, 2.35 (m), 1.19 (d, J = 7 Hz), 1.17 (d, J = 7 Hz); 5-*O*-iBu, 2.37 (m); 1.09 (d, J = 7 Hz); 1.07 (d, J = 7 Hz); OAc-7, 2.07 (s); OAc-13, 2.11 (s)); *O*-iBu, 2.35 (m), 1.19 (d, J = 7 Hz), 1.17 (d, J = 7 Hz); 5-*O*-iBu, 2.37 (m); 1.09 (d, J = 7 Hz); 1.07 (d, J = 7 Hz); OAc-7, 2.07 (s); OAc-13, 2.08 (s): 17-*O*-iBu, 2.50 (m), 1.17 (d, J = 7 Hz), 1.14 (d, J = 7 Hz); 2.05 (s). For **4e**: *O*-prop, 2.36 (q, J = 7 Hz), 2.34 (q, J = 7 Hz), 1.16 (t, J = 7 Hz), 1.10 (t, J = 7 Hz); 0.109 (d, J = 7 Hz); 1.07 (d, J = 7 Hz), 2.08 (s). For **4f**: *O*-Prop, 2.30 (q, J = 7 Hz), 0.96 (t, J = 7 Hz); OBz, 7.87 (AA), 7.50 (C), 7.37 (BB'); OAc, 2.15 (s), 2.12 (s). For **4g**: *O*-iBu, 2.41 (m), 1.12 (2 x Me, d, J = 6.5 Hz); OAc, 2.11 (s), 2.09 (s), 2.03 (s). ^c Selected NOEs for **4a**: H-12, OAc-13; H-3, H-4; H-17a, H-20; H-17a,b-H-7; H-5, H-12.

diterpenoid skeleton. One exchangeable proton at δ 4.46 showed the presence of a free hydroxyl, confirmed by the presence of a IR absorption band at 3475 cm⁻¹. Apart from the signals of the ester groups and of three methyl singlets, the ¹H NMR spectrum could be interpreted in terms of three independent aliphatic spin systems. One started from a pair of diastereotopic methylene protons (δ 3.13, dd, J =14, 8 Hz, H-1 α , δ 1.60, dd, J = 14, 13 Hz, H-1 β), and continued with one methine adjacent to a methyl (δ 1.80, m, H-2), and with three additional methines (δ 5.25, t, J = 3.5 Hz, H-3; δ 2.32, m, H-4; δ 6.17, d, J = 11 Hz, H-5), two of which were oxygenated on account of their downfield resonance. The second spin system was an isolated AB system of an oxygenated methylene (δ , 4.41 and 4.34, d, J = 12 Hz, H-17a,b), while the last spin system started from an oxymethine (δ 4.50, d, J = 7 Hz, H-7), and proceeded through a methylene (δ 2.07, m, H-8 α ; δ 1.78, br d, J =17, H-8 β) to three aliphatic methines (δ 0.71, m, H-9; δ 0.71, m, H-11; δ 3.36, m, H-12). The high-field chemical shift of two of them suggested the presence of a cyclopropane moiety. Three methyl singlets (δ 1.03, C-18; δ 0.89, C-19 and δ 1.67, C-20) and one methyl doublet (δ 0.86, d, J = 6.5 Hz) were also present. The remaining nonprotonated carbons were one carbonyl (δ 204.3, C-14), two aliphatic oxygenated quaternary carbons (δ 85.9 and 83.9, s, C-13 and C-15), and one aliphatic quaternary carbon (δ 47.3, s, C-6). HMBC correlations allowed the assembly of these features into a premyrsinane topology. In this context, the most relevant feature was the detection of HMBC correlations between the methine H-12 and both C-6 and C-7, an observation requiring the connection of C-12 to the quaternary carbon C-6. Location of the ester groups was assessed by analysis of the HMBC correlations between the ester carbonyls and the oxymethine (oxymethylene) protons, while the remaining ester group (an acetate) was located at C-13 on account of a NOE effect between H-12 and the acetyl signal at δ 2.07. NOE effects, summarized in Table 2, were also pivotal to assess the configuration as depicted in 4a. The polyol corresponding



to this structure is new, and we have named it premyrsinol. The third crystalline product obtained by column chromatography of the extract (**4b**) was closely related to **4a**, the only differences being related to the replacement of one acetyl with one nicotinate group. Differences in the chemical shift of the diastereotopic methylene at C-17, backed up by HMBC measurements, showed that the nicotinate group was located at this position.

¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis of the noncrystalline fractions of *E. pithyusa* subsp. *cupanii* revealed the presence of mixtures of premyrsinanes containing small amounts of phorbol-related compounds (characteristic broad singlet for H-1 around 7.50 ppm). HPLC separation of these mixtures afforded five additional premyrsinanes (**4c**-**g**) and three tiglianes (**5a**-**c**). Compounds **4c**-**g** showed the same spin-systems of the diterpenoid core of **4a**,**b**, and differed only for the esterification pattern, which contained, besides acetyl residues, various combinations of propionic-, α -methylbutyric, isobutyric, and benzoic acids. In all cases, location of the ester groups could be assessed in a straightforward way by the inspection of diagnostic HMBC correlations between the carbonyl carbons and their corresponding oxymethines (oxymethylene), and by NOEdifference experiments for the tertiary ester groups. The results showed that compounds 4a-g shared the same esterification pattern at C-7 and C-13, while various combinations of aliphatic and aromatic acids were present at the oxygenated carbons C-3, C-5, and C-17.

Compound **5a** (C₂₆H₃₈O₄, HRMS) displayed NMR signals indicative of a diterpenoid esterified with 2,3-dimethylbutyric acid. The olefinic signals at δ 7.56 (s, H-1) and 5.22 (br s, H-7) were typical of a tigliane derivative. No other nonexchangeable downfield signals were present in the ¹H NMR spectrum, in accordance with the quaternary nature of the two oxygenated sp³ carbons detected in the ¹³C NMR spectrum (δ 75.2 and 62.8, s, C-9 and C-13, respectively). Since a signal diagnostic for H-4 (δ 2.40, ddd, J = 10, 9, 4Hz) could be detected, and H-20, resonated as an allylic methyl (δ 1.71, br s), **5a** was a derivative of 4,12,20trisdeoxyphorbol, a new type of tigliane polyol representing the most deoxygenated form of phorbol reported to date. It is not known whether this compound and the other less oxygenated analogues of phorbol are derived from the parent polyol by removal of oxygen atoms, or, alternatively, from the transannular cyclization of less oxygenated lathyrane precursors. The β -configuration of H-4 was evident from its splitting pattern and the chemical shift of H-1,15 while the site of esterification was located at the 13hydroxyl by comparison of the ¹³C NMR resonances of the ring C carbons and those of known 12-deoxyphorbol esters.¹⁵ This was further supported by the detection of a low-field ¹H NMR signal for the 9-hydroxyl (δ 5.54, s), a feature diagnostic of an intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the carbonyl of the C-13 ester group and the tertiary 9-hydroxyl.¹⁶ The two remaining tiglianes (5b and 5c) were isolated in trace amounts (less than 0.1% w/w of the purified extract), and could not be obtained completely free of cyclomyrsinane impurities (ca. 15% in both cases). Their structure elucidation was based on MS and ¹H NMR data alone, but was helped greatly by the similarity of the spectra with those of 5a. Indeed, the differences could be rationalized in terms of oxygenation of C-20 to an hydroxymethyl-(5b) and to an acetoxymethyl group (5c). The detection of a downfield singlet for the 9-hydroxyl (δ 5.70 in **5b** and δ 5.68 in **5d**) is in accordance with the location of the ester group at C-13, as is the virtual identity of all the proton resonances around ring C. The presence of a hydroxyl at C-20, and of the 13-acyloxy-9-hydroxy group on ring C make 5b a potential protein kinase C (PKC) ligand, while 5c should be considered its corresponding "cryptic" form.¹⁷ These compounds are presumably responsible for the cathartic activity of the plant mentioned in the ancient literature.^{1,3}

Compounds **4a**–**g** belong to the premyrsinane group of diterpenoids. Nine examples were previously known,¹⁸ but the presence of an acyloxy group at C-17 in place of an intramolecular ether function^{18a–c} or a methyl group,^{18d} sets **4a**–**g** apart from all the other compounds of this class.

The detection of structurally unique diterpenoids in *E. pithyusa* subsp. *cupanii* is not surprising on account of the unique taxonomic position of this species and the geographical isolation of Sardinia. The detection of new diterpene polyols shows that spurges are a source of structurally diverse isoprenoids much richer than assumed from bioactivity-directed fractionation with the mouse ear erythema assay, which selectively targets compounds with phorbol ester-like activity. This skeletal diversity is further

amplified by esterification with a diverse array of acids, as exemplified very well by the structure of compounds 4a-g.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points were determined on a Büchi SMP-20 apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer model 237 spectrophotometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were taken on a Bruker DRX instrument (500 and 125 MHz, respectively). ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts refer to $CHCl_3$ at 7.26 ppm, and to $CDCl_3$ at 77.0 ppm, respectively. HRMS were obtained on a MAT 95ST Finnigan-MAT apparatus (70 eV, EI mode). CIMS were carried out on a Finnigan-MAT apparatus TSQ 70 using isobutane as a reactant gas. Si gel 60 (70–230 mesh) was used of open column chromatography. A Hibar Lichrosorb column (2.5 × 25 cm, Merck) was used for HPLC separations. Figure 1 was generated with PCMODEL, Serena Software, Version 4.0 (Serena Software, Bloomington, IN). Compounds **1b**, **2**, and **3a** were available from previous work on *E. lathyris* L.^{5b}

Plant Material. Aerial parts (leaves and stems) of *E. pithyusa* subsp. *cupanii* were collected around Arzana (Nuoro, Sardinia, Italy) in June 1998. The plant material was identified by Mauro Ballero, and a voucher specimen (1212) is kept at the Dipartimento di Scienze Botaniche, University of Cagliari.

Extraction and Isolation. Dried and powdered plant material (400 g) was extracted with Me₂CO at room temperature (1 \times 1.5 L, 2 \times 1 L). The pooled extracts were evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was suspended in EtOH (400 mL) and treated with an equal volume of 3% Pb(OAc)₂. After about 3 h, the suspension was filtered on a bed of Celite, and the clear filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to remove most of the EtOH, and then extracted with EtOAc. After washing with brine, drying (Na₂SO₄), and evaporation, a brown residue (4.2 g) was obtained. The latter was purified by open column chromatography on Si gel (ca. 30 g), using mixtures of hexane and EtOAc (from 9:1 to 3:7). According to differences in composition indicated by TLC, 10 crude fractions were obtained. Fractions A-C contained triterpenoids and fats and were not further investigated. Fractions D, G, and I crystallized from diethyl ether, affording 275 mg 1a (0.069%), 171 mg 4a (0.043%), and 99 mg 4b (0.025%). The mother liquors from the crystallization of 1a were further separated by HPLC (hexanes-EtOAc 7:3) to give 5 mg 5a. After HPLC (hexanes-EtOAc 7:3), fraction E afforded 3 mg 4c and 36 mg 4d, and fraction F 3 mg 5c and 23 mg 4e. Fraction H was further purified by HPLC (hexanes-EtOAc 6:4) to afford 4f (12 mg) and 4g (6 mg). Fraction L (120 mg) was first chromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 (5 g). Elution with hexanes-EtOAc 4:6 afforded 19 mg of a colorless gum, which was further purified by HPLC (hexanes-EtOAc 4:6) to afford 2 mg 5b.

Lathyrol-3-phenylacetate-5,15-diacetate (= deoxy *Euphorbia* factor L₁) (1a): white powder, mp 125–127 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +195° (*c* 0.9, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 1740, 1728, 1647, 1622, 1263, 1238, 1128, 1010, 1005 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR data, see Table 1; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 48.3 (t, C-1), 37.4 (d, C-2), 80.5 (d, C-3), 52.2 (d, C-4), 65.8 (d, C-5), 144.3 (s, C-6), 35.0 (t, C-7), 21.3 (t, C-8), 35.3 (d, C-9), 25.2 (d, C-10), 28.4 (d, C-11), 146.7 (d, C-12), 134.1 (s, C-13), 196.8 (s, C-14), 92.3 (s, C-15), 13.7 (q, C-16), 15.6 (t, C-17), 29.0 (q, C-18), 16.8 (q, C-19), 12.4 (q, C-20); PhAc: 169.8 (s), 135.4 (s), 129.5 (d), 128.5 (d), 127.1 (d), 41.5 (t); OAc: 171.3 (s), 170.7 (s), 22.0 (q), 22.0 (q); EIMS *m*/*z* 536.2780 [M]⁺ (5) (calcd for C₃₂H₄₀O₇, 536.2774).

Premyrsinol-3-propanoate-5-isobutyrate-7,13,17-triacetate (4a): white powder, mp 176–178 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –15° (*c* 1.1, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3475, 1736, 1728, 1653, 1367, 1289, 1245, 1159, 1038 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR data, see Table 2; ¹³C NMR data, see Table 3; EIMS *m/z* 636.3137 [M]⁺ (1) (calcd for C₃₅H₄₈O₁₂, 636.3145), 71 (100).

Premyrsinol-3-propanoate-5-isobutyrate-7,13-diacetate-17-nicotinate (4b): white powder, mp 179–181 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -18° (*c* 1.2, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3470, 1732, 1714, 1650,

Table 3. ¹³C NMR (δ , CDCl₃) Data for Compounds **4a**-**g**^{*a*,*b*}

| | | | | | - | | 0 |
|----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| position | 4a | 4b | 4 c | 4d | 4e | 4f | 4g |
| C-1 | 42.8 t | 42.8 t | 42.8 t | 42.8 t | 42.8 t | 42.8 t | 42.7 t |
| C-2 | 37.3 d | 37.4 d | 37.4 d | 37.3 d | 37.3 d | 37.2 d | 37.3 d |
| C-3 | 78.2 d | 78.3 d | 78.2 d | 78.2 d | 78.2 d | 78.1 d | 78.2 d |
| C-4 | 50.2 d | 50.5 d | 50.5 d | 50.4 d | 50.4 d | 50.3 d | 50.1 d |
| C-5 | 68.7 d | 69.0 d | 68.6 d | 68.6 d | 68.7 d | 69.8 d | 68.7 d |
| C-6 | 47.3 s | 47.6 s | 47.2 s | 47.2 s | 47.4 s | 47.7 s | 47.4 s |
| C-7 | 70.5 d | 70.7 d | 71.2 d | 71.1 d | 70.8 d | 70.6 d | 70.5 d |
| C-8 | 22.0 t | 22.3 t | 21.9 t | 21.9 t | 22.0 t | 22.0 t | 22.1 t |
| C-9 | 18.7 d | 18.9 d | 18.7 d | 18.8 d | 18.8 d | 18.9 d | 18.8 d |
| C-10 | 18.0 s | 18.3 s | 18.1 s | 18.0 s | 18.1 s | 18.2 s | 18.1 s |
| C-11 | 23.8 d | 23.8 d | 24.0 d | 23.7 d | 24.3 d | 23.8 d | 23.8 d |
| C-12 | 34.7 d | 35.0 d | 34.7 d | 34.7 d | 34.7 d | 35.0 d | 34.7 d |
| C-13 | 85.9 s | 85.7 s | 86.0 s | 86.0 s | 86.0 s | 85.7 s | 85.9 s |
| C-14 | 204.3 s | 204.3 s | 204.5 s | 204.4 s | 204.4 s | 204.3 s | 204.3 s |
| C-15 | 83.9 s | 84.1 s | 84.1 s | 84.0 s | 84.0 s | 84.1 s | 84.0 s |
| C-16 | 14.0 q | 14.1 q | 14.1 q | 14.0 q | 14.0 q | 13.8 q | 14.0 q |
| C-17 | 63.4 t | 64.3 t | 63.7 t | 63.5 t | 63.3 t | 62.8 t | 63.4 t |
| C-18 | 29.4 q | 29.4 q | 29.5 q | 29.4 q | 29.4 q | 29.4 q | 29.5 q |
| C-19 | 14.7 q | 14.8 q | 15.0 q | 14.8 q | 14.8 q | 14.8 q | 14.8 q |
| C-20 | 24.5 q | 24.5 q | 23.9 q | 24.5 q | 23.8 q | 24.8 q | 24.5 q |
| | | | | | | | |

^a 125 MHz; assignments aided by HMBC and HMQC experiments. Selected HMBC for 4a as representative: H-12, C-5; H-12, C-7; H-12, C-17; H-3, C-15; H-5, C-15; H-3, C=O (Prop. δ 174.1), H-5, C=O (iBu, δ 175.0); H-7, C=O (Ac, δ 169.8)); H-17a,b, C=O (Ac, δ 170.2). ^b Other signals (δ): for **4a**: O-Prop, 174.1 (s), 27.7 (t), 8.8 (q); O-iBu, 175.0 (s), 34.0 (d), 18.7 (q), 18.5 (q); OAc-7, 169.8 (s), 21.2 (q); OAc-13, 170.5 (s), 21.2 (q); OAc-17, 170.2 (s), 21.1 (q). For 4b, O-Prop: 174.0 (s), 27.7 (t), 8.8 (q); O-iBu, 174.9 (s), 33.9 (d), 18.4 (q), 18.3 (q); O-Nic, 164.8 (s), 153.9 (d), 150.5 (d), 136.7 (d), 126.0 (s), 123.7 (d); OAc-7, 169.9 (s), 21.2 (q); OAc-13: 170.6 (s), 21.3 (q). For 4c: O-Prop, 174.1 (s), 27.7 (t), 8.8 (q); O-iBu, 174.7 (s), 34.1 (d), 18.8 (q), 18.7 (q); O-MeBu, 176.4 (s), 40.9 (d), 27.2 (t), 11.6 (q), 18.8 (q); OAc-7, 169.9 (s), 21.2 (q); OAc-13, 170.7 (s), 21.3 (q). For 4d: O-Prop, 174.1 (s), 27.7 (t), 8.8 (q); O-iBu, 175.1 (s), 34.1 (d), 18.7 (q), 18.5 (q); 7-OAc, 169.8 (s), 21.2 (q); OAc-13, 170.6 (s), 21.3 (q); 17-O-iBu, 176.4 (s), 34.1 (d), 19.3 (q), 18.6 (q). For 4e: O-Prop, 174.1 (s), 173.7 (s), 27.7 (t), 27.7 (t), 9.0 (q), 8.8 (q); O-iBu, 175.1 (s), 34.1 (d), 18.7 (q), 18.5 (q); OAc-7, 169.7 (s), 21.3 (q); OAc-13, 170.6 (s), 21.3 (q). For 4f: O-Prop, 173.5 (s), 25.7 (t), 8.7 (q); O-Bz, 165.1 (s), 132.0 (d), 129.8 (s), 129.6 (d), 128.2 (d); OAc, 170.7 (s), 170.6 (s), 170.1 (s), 21.3 (q), 21.3 (q), 20.5 (q). For 4g: O-iBu, 175.2 (s), 34.1 (d), 18.7 (q), 18.5 (q); OAc, 171.0 (s), 170.7 (s), 170.3 (s), 170.0 (s), 21.3 (q), 21.3 (q), 21.2 (q), 21.1 (q).

1360, 1281, 1249, 1150, 1010 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR data, see Table 2; ¹³C NMR data, see Table 3; EIMS m/z 699.3255 [M]⁺ (0.5) (calcd for C₃₇H₄₉NO₁₂, 669.3255), 124 (100).

Premyrsinol-3-propanoate-5(α-**methyl)butyrate-7,13diacetate-17-isobutyrate (4c):** gum, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -16° (*c* 0.9, MeOH); IR (liquid film) ν_{max} 3450, 1740, 1729, 1650, 1376, 1295, 1245, 1150, 1030 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR data, see Table 2; ¹³C NMR data, see Table 3; EIMS *m*/*z* 678.3622 [M]⁺ (1) (calcd for C₃₆H₅₄O₁₂, 678.3615), 57 (100).

Premyrsinol-3-propanoate-5,17-diisobutyrate-7,13-diacetate (4d): white powder, mp 72–75 °C; $[α]_D^{25}$ –11° (*c* 0.9, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3496, 1740, 1729, 1372, 1230, 1192, 1063, 670 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR data, see Table 2; ¹³C NMR data, see Table 3; EIMS *m/z* 664.3457 [M]⁺ (2) (calcd for C₃₅H₅₂O₁₂, 664.3459), 71 (100).

Premyrsinol-3,17-dipropanoate-5-isobutyrate-7,13-diacetate (4e): gum, $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 16^\circ$ (*c* 0.9, MeOH); IR (liquid film) ν_{max} 3470, 1736, 1729, 1380, 1290, 1250, 1100, 1039 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR data, see Table 2; ¹³C NMR data, see Table 3; EIMS *m/z* 650.3301 [M]⁺ (1) (calcd for C₃₄H₅₀O₁₂, 650.3302), 57 (100).

Premyrsinol-3-propanoate-5-benzoate-7,13,17-triacetate (4f): gum, $[α]_D^{25} - 15^\circ$ (*c* 1.3, MeOH); IR (liquid film) $ν_{max}$ 3450, 1745, 1719, 1660, 1370, 1297, 1241, 1136, 1031 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR data, see Table 2; ¹³C NMR data, see Table 3; EIMS *m*/*z* 670.2989 [M]⁺ (1) (calcd for C₃₆H₄₆O₁₂, 670.2989), 105 (100).

Premyrsinol-3-propanoate-5-isobutyrate-7,13,17-triaacetate (4g): gum, $[α]_D^{25} - 14^\circ$ (*c* 0.9, MeOH); IR (liquid film) $ν_{max}$ 3470, 1737, 1380, 1291, 1225, 1158, 1050 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR data, see Table 2; ¹³C NMR data, see Table 3; EIMS *m*/*z* 622.2981 [M]⁺ (2) (calcd for C₃₂H₄₆O₁₂, 622.2989), 71 (100).

4,12,20-Trideoxyphorbol-13-(2,3-dimethyl)butyrate (5a): gum, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +35° (*c* 0.5, MeOH); IR (liquid film) ν_{max} 3510, 1715, 1660, 1390, 1119, 1100, 1016, 970 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.56 (1H, br s, H-1), 5.54 (1H, s, OH-9), 5.22 (1H, br s, H-7), 3.29 (1H, br s, H-10), 2.81 (1H, dd, J = 18, 9 Hz, H-5b), 2.40 (1H, ddd, J = 10, 9, 4 Hz, H-4), 2.19 (1H, m, H-2'), 2.10 (1H, dd, J = 15, 6 Hz, H-12a), 2.07 (1H, br dd, J = 6.5, 4 Hz, H-8), 1.98 (1H, dd, J = 18, 10 Hz, H-5a), 1.93 (1H, m, H-3'), 1.71 (3H, br s, H-20), 1.53 (1H, dd, J = 15, 4, H-12b), 1.19 (3H, s, H-17), 1.09 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, Me-2'), 1.02 (3H, s, H-16), 0.93 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, Me-3'), 0.91 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, H-18), 0.90 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, Me-3'), 0.75 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz, H-14); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) & 161.0 (d, C-1), 138.3 (s, C-2), 203.0 (s, C-3), 44.4 (d, C-4), 34.0 (t, C-5), 136.2 (s, C-6), 126.8 (d, C-7), 41.9 (d, C-8), 75.2 (s, C-9), 53.9 (d, C-10), 46.2 (d, C-11), 31.8 (t, C-12), 62.8 (s, C-13), 32.0 (d, C-14), 22.5 (d, C-15), 15.2 (q, C-16), 22.9 (q, C-17), 19.0 (q, C-18), 10.0 (q, C-19), 25.2 (q, C-20), 178.0 (s, C-1'), 35.3 (d, C-2'), 30.5 (d, C-3'), 20.7 (d, C-4'), 19.1 (q, C-5'), 13.3 (q, C-6'); EIMS m/z 414.2981 [M]+ (1) (calcd for C₂₆H₃₈O₄, 414.2982), 281 (100).

4,12-Dideoxyphorbol-13-(2,3-dimethyl)butyrate (5b): gum, IR (liquid film) ν_{max} 3600, 1713, 1660, 1375, 1120, 1060, 1011, 980 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.56 (1H, br s, H-1), 5.70 (1H, s, OH-9), 5.24 (1H, br s, H-7), 4.06 (1H, br d, J = 11 Hz, H-20a), 4.03 (1H, br d, J = 11 Hz, H-20b) 3.28 (1H, br s, H-10), 3.16 (1H, dd, J = 18, 9 Hz, H-5 β), 2.42 (1H, ddd, J = 10, 9, 4 Hz, H-4), 2.19 (1H, m, H-2'), 1.94 (1H, m, H-3'), 1.71 (1H, br s, H-19), 1.61 (1H, dd, J = 15, 4 Hz, H-12b), 1.20 (3H, s, H-17), 1.09 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, Me-2'), 1.06 (3H, s, H-16), 0.97 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, Me-3'), 0.91 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, Me-3'), 0.77 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz, H-14); CIMS m/z 431 [M]⁺ [C₂₆H₃₈O₅ + H]⁺ (31).

4,12-Dideoxyphorbol-13-(2,3-dimethyl)butyrate-20-acetate (5c): gum, IR (liquid film) ν_{max} 3600, 1718, 1660, 1380, 1150, 1061, 1090, 990 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.55 (1H, br s, H-1), 5.68 (1H, s, OH-9), 5.56 (1H, br s, H-7), 4.42 (1H, br d, J = 12 Hz, H-20a), 4.35 (1H, br d, J = 12 Hz, H-20b) 3.26 (1H, br s, H-10), 3.15 (1H, dd, J = 18, 9 Hz, H-5 β), 2.40 (1H, m, H-4), 2.19 (1H, m, H-2'), 2.04 (3H, s, OAc), 1.93 (1H, m, H-3'), 1.69 (3H, br s, H-19), 1.56 (1H, dd, J = 15, 4 Hz, H-12b), 1.19 (3H, s, H-17), 1.09 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, Me-2'), 1.03 (3H, s, Me-3'), 0.93 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, Me-3'), 0.90 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, Me-3'), 0.75 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz, H-14); CIMS m/z 475 [M]⁺ [C₂₈H₄₀O₆ + H]⁺, (10).

Deoxygenation of *Euphorbia* **Factor L**₁ (2). To a solution of iodine (270 mg, 1.07 mmol, 3.7 mol equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added polymer-supported triphenylphosphine (350 mg, 1.10 mmol, 3.8 mol equiv), resulting in the decoloration of the solution and the formation of a black precipitate. After stirring at room temperature for 15 min, a solution of *Euphorbia* factor L₁ (2) (160 mg, 0.29 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added. After further stirring for 10 min, the reaction mixture was worked up by filtration, and the filter cake was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The pooled filtrates were washed sequentially with 5% Na₂S₂O₃ and brine. After drying (Na₂SO₄) and removal of the solvent, a yellowish solid was obtained. Washing with diethyl ether gave 81 mg (82%) **1a** as a white powder, identical (¹H NMR, TLC) to the natural product.

Hydrolysis of Deoxy Euphorbia Factor L1 (1a). Compound 1a (200 mg, 0.37 mmol) was suspended in 5% KOH in MeOH (2 mL). After stirring at room temperature for 4 h, the reaction was worked up by dilution with water (8 mL) and extraction with EtOAc. The organic phase was washed with brine and dried (Na₂SO₄). The residue was crystallized from ether to give 68 mg (55%) lathyrol (1c) as a white powder, identical to the compound obtained from the hydrolysis of *Euphorbia* factor L₃ (**1b**): mp 168–170 °C (lit.: 168–169 °C);⁷ $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +101° (c 1.3, MeOH): IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3389, 3261, 1653, 1641, 1444, 1269, 1047, 910, 904 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR data, see Table 1; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) & 46.8 (t, C-1), 38.1 (d, C-2), 77.2 (d, C-3), 53.2 d (C-4), 69.6 (d, C-5), 147.8 (s, C-6), 33.7 (t, C-7), 23.2 (t, C-8), 34.8 (d, C-9), 24.0 (s, C-10), 26.0 (d, C-11), 139.9 (d, C-12), 137.0 (s, C-13), 206.7 (s, C-14), 87.9 (s, C-15), 13.9 (q, C-16), 110.9 (t, C-17), 28.6 (q, C-18), 15.5 (q, C-19), 13.6 (q, C-20); EIMS m/z 334.2160 $[M]^+$ (10) (calcd for C₂₀H₃₀O₄, 334.2144).

Hydrolysis of Euphorbia factor L2 (3a). Compound 3a (300 mg) was hydrolyzed as described for 1a, giving 89 mg (54%) 7β -hydroxylathyrol (**3b**) as a white powder, mp 220– 222 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +52° (c 0.9, MeOH): IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3435, 1676, 1615, 1153, 1076, 1059, 1007, 922 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR data, see Table 1; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃-DMSO-d₆ 1:1) δ 47.2 (t, C-1), 35.8 (d, C-2), 76.9 (d, C-3), 53.2 d (C-4), 63.4 (d, C-5), 147.8 (s, C-6), 76.2 (d, C-7), 22.8 (t, C-8), 30.3 (d, C-9), 26.6 (s, C-10), 27.4 (d, C-11), 147.8 (d, C-12), 132.2 (s, C-13), 199.8 (s, C-14), 87.2 (s, C-15), 12.8 (q, C-16), 111.3 (t, C-17), 30.0 (q, C-18), 14.8 (q, C-19), 11.1 (q, C-20); EIMS m/z 350.2083 [M]+ (10) (calcd for C₂₀H₃₀O₄, 350.2093).

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by EU (Contract ERB FAIR CT 1781) and MURST (Fondi 40%, Progetto Chimica dei Composti Organici di Interesse Biologico). We are grateful to Dr. Raffaele Sestu and the Pro Loco Arzana (NU) for their hospitality and help during the collection of the plant material.

References and Notes

- (1) Pliny's opening of the paragraph on pityusa in his Naturalis Historia has often been quoted: Cum honore et pityusa simile de causa dicetur, quamdam in tithymali genere numerant (Honorable mention will now be made of pityusa, which some include in the same class as tithymalus) (XXIV, XXXI).
- Turner, R. Euphorbias, Batsford: London, 1995; pp 148–149.
 Petrus Andreas Matthiolis. *Pedacio Dioscorides*, 1544; CLXVII.
- Valsecchi, F. Boll. Soc. Sarda Sci. Nat. 1980, 83, 336-342.
- (a) Adolf, W.; Hecker, E.; Balmain, A.; Lhomme, M. F.; Nakatani, Y.; Ourisson, G.; Ponsinet, G.; Pryce, R. J.; Santhanakrishnan, T. S.; Matyukhina, L. G.; Saltikova, I. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1970**, 2241– 2244. (b) Appendino, G.; Tron, G. C.; Cravotto, G.; Palmisano, G.; Jakupovic, J. J. Nat. Prod. **1999**, *62*, 76–79. (c) Itokawa, H.; Ichihara, Y.; Yahagi, M.; Watanabe, K.; Takeya, K. Phytochemistry 1990, 29, 2025-2026.
- (6) This compound was isolated as early as 1890 but was originally considered a steroid and named "euphorbiasteroid" (Tahara, Y. Chem. Ber. 1890, 23, 3347-3351).
- (7) Adolf, W.; Hecker, E. Experientia 1971, 27, 1393-1394

- (8) The use of monomeric triphenylphosphine gave a product which could not be satisfactorily separated from triphenylphosphine oxide by crystallization or chromatography. Appendino, G.; Jakupovic, S.; Tron, G. C.; Jakupovic, J.; Milon, V.;
- Ballero, M. J. Nat. Prod. 1998, 61, 749-756.
- (10) Narayanan, P.; Röhrl, M.; Zechmeister, K.; Engel, D. W.; Hoppe, W.; Hecker, E.; Adolf, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1971**, 1325–1328. (11) Zechmeister, K.; Rörl, M.; Brandl, F.; Hechfischer, S.; Hoppe, W.;
- Hecker, E.; Adolf, W.; Kubinyi, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 3071-3073
- (12) The UV spectra of lathyrol (1c), hydroxylathyrol (3b), and their esters are characterized by an anomalous $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ absorption [278 ($\epsilon = 14400$) for 1c; 275 nm ($\epsilon = 12600$) for 2b]. These values are somewhat reminiscent of those observed in taxinine and related taxoids (Appendino, G. In The Chemistry and Pharmacology of Taxol® and its Derivatives; Farina, V., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1995; pp 56–58) and rather different from the ones calculated from Woodward's rules. Ring strain and the presence of a vinyl group adjacent to the endocyclic double bond probably underlay this remarkable bathochromic shift. For these reasons, the differences between the UV spectra of 1c and 3b are difficult to rationalize.
- (13) Seip, E. H.; Hecker, E. Phytochemistry 1984, 23, 1689–1694.
 (14) Hecker, E. in Hagers Handbuch der Pharmazeutischen Praxis; Blaschek, W., Hänsel, R., Keller, K., Reichling, J., Rimpler, H., Schneider, G., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 1998; Folgeband 2, pp 644-649.
- (15) Evans, F. J.; Taylor, S. E. In Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products; Herz, W., Grisebach, H., Kirby, G. W., Eds.; Springer: New York, 1983; Vol. 44, pp 1–99.
- (16) This hydrogen bond is typical of all phorboid 13-esters, and was even (10) His Hydrogen body is typical of all photon 10 steers, and we even detected in the X-ray analysis of phorbolo 13-acetate bound to a fragment of PKC δ (Zhang, G.; Kazanietz, M. G.; Blumberg, P. M.; Hurley, J. H. *Cell* 1995, *81*, 917–924).
 (17) Krauter, G.; Von Der Lieth, C. W.; Schmidt, R.; Hecker, E. *Eur. J.*
- Biochem. 1996, 242, 417-127.
- (18) (a) Euphoppins A-D: (Yang L.; Shi, Y. P.; Jia, Z.; Saleh, S.; Lanham, J. J. Nat. Prod. 1995, 58, 1883–1888. (b) Aleppicatines A and B: Öksüz, S.; Gürek, F.; Lin, L.-Z.; Gil, R. R.; Pezzuto, J. M.; Cordell, G. A. *Phytochemistry* **1996**, *42*, 473–478. (c) Karajinones A and B: Ahmad, V. U.; Jassbi, A. R. *Phytochemistry* **1998**, *48*, 1217–1220. (d) 17-Deoxy-18-hydroxypremyrsinol-3,18-dinicotinate-5-benzoate-7acetate: Öksüz, S.; Gürek, F.; Qiu, S.-X.; Cordell, G. A. J. Nat. Prod. **1998**, *61*, 1198–1201.

NP990209U